

REMARKS

Claims 1 - 41 are presented for examination.

Claims 40 and 41 are added to illustrate the generic nature of Claim 1. Claim 41 reads on embodiment 1, and Claim 40 reads on embodiment 2, both claims 40 and 41 being dependent on original Claim 1.

The Examiner has required an election between embodiment 1 (consisting of FIGS. 1-5) and embodiment 2 (consisting of FIG. 6). Applicant elects for prosecution embodiment 1.

Claims 1-37 and 41 read on embodiment 1, while Claims 38-39 and 40 read on embodiment 2. Claims 1-37 are generic to both embodiments.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, a prompt and favorable action on the merits is respectfully requested.


If an extension of time is required to enable this document to be timely filed and there is no separate Request for Extension of Time, this document is to be construed as also constituting a Request for Extension of Time Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(s) for a period of time sufficient to enable this document to be timely filed. Any fee required for such a Request for Extension of Time and any other fee required by this document pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 and not submitted herewith should be charged to the Deposit

Account of the undersigned attorneys, Account No. 01-1785; any refund should be credited to the same account. One copy of this document is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted

AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP  
Attorneys for Applicant  
90 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 336-8000

Dated: New York, New York  
July 13, 2004

By:   
Neal L. Rosenberg  
Registration No.: 21,088